

MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,755	12/24/2003	Priyatosh Barman	08350.2480	8928
58982 7590 07/11/2007 CATERPILLAR/FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, L.L.P.			Priyatosh Barman 08350.2480 8928	
901 New York Avenue, NW		DAY, HERNG DER		
WASHINGTO	VASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2128	-	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant/e)		
			Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Sciences	10/743,755	BARMAN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Herng-der Day	2128		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the torest of the provisions of	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
tatus					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>une 2007</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
)isposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2007 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
riority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notic 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/743,755 Page 2

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicants' Amendment ("Amendment") to Office Action dated January 12, 2007, filed June 20, 2007.

- 1-1. Claims 1, 11, 17, 25, 28, and 33 have been amended. Claims 1-36 are pending.
- 1-2. Claims 1-36 have been examined and rejected.

Drawings

2. The replacement drawing sheet of FIG. 2 received on June 20, 2007 is acceptable. The objection to the drawings has been withdrawn.

Specification

3. The objections to the specification have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sebastian et al., U.S. Patent 5,822,206 issued October 13, 1998.

5-1. Regarding claim 1, Sebastian et al. disclose a method of designing a machine component, comprising:

establishing a plurality of requirements associated with the machine component (to determine customer requirements, column 15, lines 14-25), the machine component including a plurality of parts (a feature template may, in the design process, represent a sub-part (such as a boss), ... or an assembly or subsystem (comprising a number of parts), column 15, lines 4-13);

automatically establishing a component layout in response to the plurality of requirements (Automated CE system, step 48, FIG. 3; a detailed part drawing, ... are produced, column 15, lines 56-62);

analyzing the component layout to determine whether the component layout meets a predetermined performance threshold (to meet the performance requirements, column 8, lines 54-65); and

establishing a final component design when the component layout meets the predetermined performance threshold (approved, column 15, lines 63-66).

- **5-2.** Regarding claim 2, Sebastian et al. further disclose including modifying the component layout when the component layout does not meet the predetermined performance threshold (if not approved in step 50 return to step 42, FIG. 3; enable the user to redesign the part, column 10, lines 10-37).
- **5-3.** Regarding claim 3, Sebastian et al. further disclose including:

comparing the component layout with a set of information related to existing machine components (supply the dimensions and parametric information based upon known factors, such as ... known attributes about other related objects, column 22, lines 34-42); and

identifying an existing machine component having a similar layout to component layout (parts which have been previously designed can be introduced to the design of a new part as an external object, column 23, lines 49-55).

- **5-4.** Regarding claim 4, Sebastian et al. further disclose wherein the analysis of the component layout analysis includes a finite element analysis (for example, FEM structural analysis, column 18, lines 52-58).
- **5-5.** Regarding claim 5, Sebastian et al. further disclose wherein the predetermined performance threshold includes a minimum component life expectancy (all aspects of the product life cycle need to be taken into account, column 25, lines 22-29).
- **5-6.** Regarding claim 6, Sebastian et al. further disclose wherein machine component is a fluid cylinder and the plurality of requirements includes at least one of a bore diameter, a rod diameter, a stroke length, a head end pin diameter, a rod end pin diameter, a head end port type, a head end port size, a head end port orientation, a rod end port type, a rod end port size, and a rod end port orientation (a cylinder closed one end, column 12, lines 21-23).
- 5-7. Regarding claim 7, Sebastian et al. further disclose including archiving the final component design in a part database (to create feature templates and store them in a feature template library, column 13, lines 57-58; captures and stores the designer's intent, column 26, lines 58-64).
- **5-8.** Regarding claim 8, Sebastian et al. further disclose including reviewing a previously stored final component design (parts which have been previously designed can be introduced to the design of a new part as an external object, column 23, lines 49-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/743,755

Art Unit: 2128

- **5-9.** Regarding claim 9, Sebastian et al. further disclose including determining the costs associated with the final component design (it can be determined if it is feasible to produce the part within budget, column 10, lines 24-33).
- **5-10.** Regarding claim 10, Sebastian et al. further disclose including identifying design changes to reduce the costs associated with final component design (enable the user to redesign the part, ... so that budgets are met, column 10, lines 33-37).
- **5-11.** Regarding claim 11, Sebastian et al. disclose a system for designing a machine component, comprising:

an input device (input device 35, FIG. 2 and FIG. 6) adapted to receive a plurality of requirements associated with a machine component (to determine customer requirements, column 15, lines 14-25), the machine component including a plurality of parts (a feature template may, in the design process, represent a sub-part (such as a boss), ... or an assembly or subsystem (comprising a number of parts), column 15, lines 4-13); and

a processor (CPU 32, FIG. 2) adapted to establish a component layout in response to the plurality of requirements (a detailed part drawing, ... are produced, column 15, lines 56-62), to analyze the component layout to determine whether the component layout meets a predetermined performance threshold (to meet the performance requirements, column 8, lines 54-65), and to establish a final component design when the component layout meets the predetermined performance threshold (approved, column 15, lines 63-66).

5-12. Regarding claim 12, Sebastian et al. further disclose including a storage device adapted to store a set of information related to a plurality of existing machine components (feature template library, column 13, lines 57-58).

5-13. Regarding claim 13, Sebastian et al. further disclose wherein the processor is adapted to perform a finite element analysis on the component layout (for example, FEM structural analysis, column 18, lines 52-58).

- **5-14.** Regarding claim 14, Sebastian et al. further disclose wherein the input device includes an electronic design requirements form including input fields adapted to receive the plurality of requirements (Templates as shown in FIG. 2A and FIG. 2B).
- **5-15.** Regarding claim 15, Sebastian et al. further disclose wherein machine component is a fluid cylinder and the plurality of requirements includes at least one of a bore diameter, a rod diameter, a stroke length, a head end pin diameter, a rod end pin diameter, a head end port type, a head end port orientation, a rod end port type, a rod end port size, and a rod end port orientation (a cylinder closed one end, column 12, lines 21-23).
- **5-16.** Regarding claim 16, Sebastian et al. further disclose wherein the processor is adapted to determine the costs associated with the final component design (it can be determined if it is feasible to produce the part within budget, column 10, lines 24-33) and to identify design changes to reduce the costs associated with the final component design (enable the user to redesign the part, ... so that budgets are met, column 10, lines 33-37).
- **5-17.** Regarding claim 17, Sebastian et al. disclose a method of designing a machine component, comprising:

establishing a plurality of requirements associated with the machine component (to determine customer requirements, column 15, lines 14-25), the machine component including a plurality of parts (a feature template may, in the design process, represent a sub-part (such as a boss), ... or an assembly or subsystem (comprising a number of parts), column 15, lines 4-13);

Application/Control Number: 10/743,755 Page 7

Art Unit: 2128

comparing the plurality of requirements with a set of information related to existing machine components in an automated manner (Automated CE system, step 48, FIG. 3); and establishing a component layout design in response to said comparison (a detailed part drawing, ... are produced, column 15, lines 56-62).

- **5-18.** Regarding claim 18, Sebastian et al. further disclose including identifying an existing machine component having a layout including at least one of the plurality of requirements (parts which have been previously designed can be introduced to the design of a new part as an external object, column 23, lines 49-55).
- **5-19.** Regarding claim 19, Sebastian et al. further disclose including:

analyzing the component layout to determine whether the component layout meets a predetermined performance threshold (to meet the performance requirements, column 8, lines 54-65); and

establishing a final component design when the component layout meets the predetermined performance threshold (approved, column 15, lines 63-66).

- **5-20.** Regarding claim 20, Sebastian et al. further disclose including modifying the component layout when the component layout does not meet the predetermined performance threshold (if not approved in step 50 return to step 42, FIG. 3; enable the user to redesign the part, column 10, lines 10-37).
- **5-21.** Regarding claim 21, Sebastian et al. further disclose including archiving the final component design in a part database (to create feature templates and store them in a feature template library, column 13, lines 57-58; captures and stores the designer's intent, column 26, lines 58-64).

- **5-22.** Regarding claim 22, Sebastian et al. further disclose wherein the machine component is a fluid cylinder and the plurality of requirements includes at least one of a bore diameter, a rod diameter, a stroke length, a head end pin diameter, a rod end pin diameter, a head end port type, a head end port orientation, a rod end port type, a rod end port size, and a rod end port orientation (a cylinder closed one end, column 12, lines 21-23).
- **5-23.** Regarding claim 23, Sebastian et al. further disclose including determining the costs associated with the final component design (it can be determined if it is feasible to produce the part within budget, column 10, lines 24-33).
- **5-24.** Regarding claim 24, Sebastian et al. further disclose including identifying design changes to reduce the costs associated with final component design (enable the user to redesign the part, ... so that budgets are met, column 10, lines 33-37).
- **5-25.** Regarding claim 25, Sebastian et al. disclose a system for designing a machine component, comprising:

an input device (input device 35, FIG. 2 and FIG. 6) adapted to receive a plurality of requirements associated with a machine component (to determine customer requirements, column 15, lines 14-25), the machine component including a plurality of parts (a feature template may, in the design process, represent a sub-part (such as a boss), ... or an assembly or subsystem (comprising a number of parts), column 15, lines 4-13); and

a processor (CPU 32, FIG. 2) adapted to establish a component layout in response to the plurality of requirements (a detailed part drawing, ... are produced, column 15, lines 56-62), to compare the component layout with a set of information related to existing machine components (supply the dimensions and parametric information based upon known factors, such as ... known

attributes about other related objects, column 22, lines 34-42), and to identify an existing machine component having a layout similar to the established component layout (parts which have been previously designed can be introduced to the design of a new part as an external object, column 23, lines 49-55).

- **5-26.** Regarding claim 26, Sebastian et al. further disclose wherein the processor is adapted to analyze the component layout to determine whether the component layout meets a predetermined performance threshold (to meet the performance requirements, column 8, lines 54-65) and to establish a final component design when the component layout meets the predetermined performance threshold (approved, column 15, lines 63-66).
- **5-27.** Regarding claim 27, Sebastian et al. further disclose including a storage device adapted to store a set of information related to a plurality of existing machine components (feature template library, column 13, lines 57-58).
- **5-28.** Regarding claim 28, Sebastian et al. disclose a method of designing a machine component, comprising:

establishing a plurality of requirements associated with the machine component (to determine customer requirements, column 15, lines 14-25), the machine component including a plurality of parts (a feature template may, in the design process, represent a sub-part (such as a boss), ... or an assembly or subsystem (comprising a number of parts), column 15, lines 4-13); establishing a component layout in response to the plurality of requirements (a detailed part drawing, ... are produced, column 15, lines 56-62);

determining the costs associated with the component layout (it can be determined if it is feasible to produce the part within budget, column 10, lines 24-33); and

Application/Control Number: 10/743,755 Page 10

Art Unit: 2128

identifying changes in the component layout to reduce the costs associated with the component layout (enable the user to redesign the part, ... so that budgets are met, column 10, lines 33-37).

5-29. Regarding claim 29, Sebastian et al. further disclose including:

comparing the component layout with a set of information related to existing machine components (supply the dimensions and parametric information based upon known factors, such as ... known attributes about other related objects, column 22, lines 34-42); and

identifying an existing machine component having a layout similar to the established component layout (parts which have been previously designed can be introduced to the design of a new part as an external object, column 23, lines 49-55).

5-30. Regarding claim 30, Sebastian et al. further disclose including:

analyzing the component layout to determine whether the component layout meets a predetermined performance threshold (to meet the performance requirements, column 8, lines 54-65); and

establishing a final component design when the component layout meets the predetermined performance threshold (approved, column 15, lines 63-66).

- **5-31.** Regarding claim 31, Sebastian et al. further disclose including modifying the component layout when the component layout does not meet the predetermined performance threshold (if not approved in step 50 return to step 42, FIG. 3; enable the user to redesign the part, column 10, lines 10-37).
- **5-32.** Regarding claim 32, Sebastian et al. further disclose including archiving the final component design in a part database (to create feature templates and store them in a feature

template library, column 13, lines 57-58; captures and stores the designer's intent, column 26, lines 58-64).

5-33. Regarding claim 33, Sebastian et al. disclose a system for designing a machine component, comprising:

an input device (input device 35, FIG. 2 and FIG. 6) adapted to receive a plurality of requirements associated with a machine component (to determine customer requirements, column 15, lines 14-25), the machine component including a plurality of parts (a feature template may, in the design process, represent a sub-part (such as a boss), ... or an assembly or subsystem (comprising a number of parts), column 15, lines 4-13); and

a processor (CPU 32, FIG. 2) adapted to establish a component layout in response to the plurality of requirements (a detailed part drawing, ... are produced, column 15, lines 56-62), to determine the costs associated with the component layout (it can be determined if it is feasible to produce the part within budget, column 10, lines 24-33), and to identify changes in the component layout to reduce the costs associated with the component layout (enable the user to redesign the part, ... so that budgets are met, column 10, lines 33-37).

- **5-34.** Regarding claim 34, Sebastian et al. further disclose including a storage device adapted to store a set of information related to a plurality of existing machine components (feature template library, column 13, lines 57-58).
- **5-35.** Regarding claim 35, Sebastian et al. further disclose wherein the processor is adapted to compare the component layout with the set of information related to existing machine components (supply the dimensions and parametric information based upon known factors, such as ... known attributes about other related objects, column 22, lines 34-42) and to identify an

existing machine component having a layout similar to the component layout (parts which have been previously designed can be introduced to the design of a new part as an external object, column 23, lines 49-55).

5-36. Regarding claim 36, Sebastian et al. further disclose wherein the processor is adapted to analyze the component layout to determine whether the component layout meets a predetermined performance threshold (to meet the performance requirements, column 8, lines 54-65) and to establish a final component design when the component layout meets the predetermined performance threshold (approved, column 15, lines 63-66).

Applicants' Arguments

- **6.** Applicants argue the following:
- (1) "Sebastian discloses a single part. Sebastian fails to disclose a machine component including a plurality of parts as required by independent claims 1, 11, 15, 17, 25, 28, and 33. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Section 102(b) rejection of independent claims 1, 11, 15, 17, 25, 28, and 33, and their dependent claims, 2-10, 12-14, 16, 18-24, 26, 27, 29-32, and 34-36." (Page 14, paragraph 1, Amendment).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Sebastian et al. disclose a computer-based engineering design method to design a part. Specifically, Sebastian et al. disclose at column 12, lines 58-61, "Part-feature template incorporate sub-part feature templates that represent the sub-parts that are used to make the part represented by the

part-feature template" and at column 15, lines 4-13, "The present invention supports design at various design levels. For example, a feature template may, in the design process, represent a sub-part (such as a boss), or a part (such as a gear), or an assembly or subsystem (comprising a number of parts), or a product or system. For convenience, the term "part" is used, but this term is not limited to part, and includes sub-part, assembly, subsystem and product. Accordingly, a feature template may represent any object in the design process, for example, from a screw to a completed product". In other words, the term "part" used by Sebastian et al. is not limited to part only but includes sub-part, assembly, subsystem as well as product and part-feature template incorporates sub-part feature templates that represent the sub-parts that are used to make the part represented by the part-feature template. Accordingly, "a feature template may represent an assembly or subsystem (comprising a number of parts)" anticipates the claimed limitation "the machine component including a plurality of parts".

Conclusion

8. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Application/Control Number: 10/743,755

Art Unit: 2128

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Herng-der Day whose telephone number is (571) 272-3777. The Examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 17:30.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: (571) 272-2100.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Kamini S. Shah can be reached on (571) 272-2279. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Herng-der Day
July 2, 2007

KAMINI SHATI EXAMINER

Page 14